July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007-2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron
Commissioner of Education

Maine High School Assessment

High School Report

Test Date: May 2008 ID: 10091154

SAU: Baileyville School Department

School: Woodland Jr-Sr High School

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Baileyville School Department School: Woodland Jr-Sr High School


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

Year			
	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1139 1138	1139 1137	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1139 1141	1140 1140	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1142 1139	1141 1138	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1142	1142	1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	lme	nt¹								CC	N	ΓΕΙ	T	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	IC	ΙPΑ	TIC	N ²						
CATEGORY OF	C	during	g test	ing v	vindo	W		С	ritical	Read	ing				Math	ematic	s				Wri	ting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Sc	hool	5	SAU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ıte
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	38	100	21	100	15604	100	37	100	20	100	14875	96	37	100	20	100	15165	97	37	100	20	100	14869	96	37	100	19	95	14961	96
Ethnicity African American/Black	0	0	0	0	305	2	0	0	0	0	261	86	0	0	0	0	286	95	0	0	0	0	260	86	0	0	0	0	280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2	5	0	0	103	1	2	100	0	0	95	93	2	100	0	0	97	95	2	100	0	0	95	93	2	100	0	0	93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	3	1	5	215	1	1	100	1	100	194	90	1	100	1	100	202	94	1	100	1	100	194	90	1	100	1	100	200	93
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	140	1	0	0	0	0	118	84	0	0	0	0	123	88	0	0	0	0	118	84	0	0	0	0	120	86
Caucasian/White	35	92	20	95	14841	95	34	100	19	100	14207	96	34	100	19	100	14457	98	34	100	19	100	14202	96	34	100	18	95	14268	96
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Identified disability	4	11	2	10	2247	14	3	100	1	100	2065	93	3	100	1	100	2138	96	3	100	1	100	2060	92	3	100	0	0	2081	93
Current LEP	0	0	0	0	648	4	0	0	0	0	508	79	0	0	0	0	564	87	0	0	0	0	507	78	0	0	0	0	534	83
Economically disadvantaged	5	13	3	14	4028	26	5	100	3	100	3682	92	5	100	3	100	3831	95	5	100	3	100	3679	92	5	100	2	67	3755	94
Migrant	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100

MODE OF			Critica	l Rea	ading					Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting					Sci	ence		
	Sc	chool		SAU		State	е	Sch	ool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	9	6 N		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations	34	89	17	8	1 130	42	84	34	89	17	81	13332	85	34	89	17	81	13042	84	34	89	17	81	13192	85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	3	9	0	(73	9	6	3	9	0	0	810	6	3	9	0	0	739	6	3	9	0	0	791	6
LEP	0	0	0	(39	9	3	0	0	0	0	456	3	0	0	0	0	399	3	0	0	0	0	436	3
504 plan	0	0	0	() 19	6	2	0	0	0	0	204	2	0	0	0	0	196	2	0	0	0	0	201	2
Participation with accommodations	3	8	3	1	4 16	23	10	3	8	3	14	1624	10	3	8	3	14	1625	10	3	8	2	10	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0	1	3	3 11	17	69	0	0	1	33	1119	69	0	0	1	33	1119	69	0	0	0	0	1088	69
LEP	0	0	0	() 9	3	6	0	0	0	0	93	6	0	0	0	0	93	6	0	0	0	0	83	5
504 plan	0	0	0	() 5	3	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	55	4
Other	3	100	2	6	7 36	7	23	3	100	2	67	366	23	3	100	2	67	367	23	3	100	2	100	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	0	0	0	() 20	9	1	0	0	0	0	209	1	0	0	0	0	202	1	0	0	0	0	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0	0	() 20	9	100	0	0	0	0	209	100	0	0	0	0	202	100	0	0	0	0	202	100
LEP	0	0	0	() 1	5	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7
504 plan	0	0	0	() (0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0	() 1		0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	1	3	1		5 3	3	0	1	3	1	5	40	0	1	3	1	5	36	0	1	3	1	5	38	0
Non-participation – other	0	0	0	() 69	3	4	0	0	0	0	399	3	0	0	0	0	699	4	0	0	1	5	605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

² Percents are the percentage of students, including those who participated through alternate assessment (PAAP), who participated in the content area.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a studen on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea	ntified	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	1	2	1	5	1079	7
	2006-2007	3	6	1	6	1168	8
	2007-2008	2	5	0	0	1184	8
	Cum. Total*	6	5	2	3	3431	8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	17	38	9	43	5697	38
	2006-2007	17	35	7	41	5714	38
	2007-2008	13	35	8	40	5885	40
	Cum. Total*	47	36	24	41	17296	39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	12	27	5	24	4772	32
	2006-2007	16	33	6	35	4728	31
	2007-2008	14	38	8	40	4093	28
	Cum. Total*	42	32	19	33	13593	30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	15	33	6	29	3595	24
	2006-2007	12	25	3	18	3444	23
	2007-2008	8	22	4	20	3417	23
	Cum. Total*	35	27	13	22	10456	23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Scł	nool							SA	AU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1138	20	0	40	40	20	1137	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						248	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2										0						94	5	27	28	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						192	4	35	30	31	1138
Hispanic	0										0						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White	34	2	6	13	38	12	35	7	21	1139	19	0	42	42	16	1138	13930	8	41	28	23	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified dischility																						
Identified disability Yes	3										1						1823	1	9	24	65	1126
No No	34	2	6	13	38	14	41	5	15	1140	19	0	42	42	16	1138	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
No	34	2	0	13	30	14	41	5	15	1140	19	"	42	42	10	1130	12750	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes	0										0						488	3	22	24	52	1132
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1138	20	0	40	40	20	1137	14091	8	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	5	1	20	1	20	1	20	2	40	1136	3						3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No	32	1	3	12	38	13	41	6	19	1139	17	0	47	41	12	1140	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
No	32	'	3	12	30	13	41	"	19	1139	17	"	47	41	12	1140	11034	10	44	21	19	1143
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1138	20	0	40	40	20	1137	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	13	0	0	4	31	6	46	3	23	1135	7	0	43	57	0	1140	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male	24	2	8	9	38	8	33	5	23	1140	13	0	38	31	31	1136	7342	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported	0				30	"	33	"		1140	0	"	30	"	31	1100	0	o	30	20	20	1140
Not neported																						
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	9	30	61	1127
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1138	20	0	40	40	20	1137	14476	8	41	28	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	48	48	4	0	1161
No No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1138	20	0	40	40	20	1137	14284	7	40	29	24	1140
INU	3/		٥	13	35	14	36	°	22	1136	20	"	40	40	20	113/	14284	1	40	29	24	1140



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's <i>Lean</i>	^	STI	JDENTS A	T EACH A	CHIEVEN	MENT LEV	'EL*
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ide		Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a confinite of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" see		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2006-2007	0	0	0	0	578	4
	2007-2008	2	5	1	5	637	4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2006-2007	19	38	7	39	5481	36
	2007-2008	13	35	6	30	5508	37
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)	2006-2007	15	30	6	33	4754	31
	2007-2008	14	38	9	45	5065	34
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007	16	32	5	28	4607	30
	2007-2008	8	22	4	20	3660	25



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							S	AU .					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jule	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	JCOIE
All Students	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1141	20	5	30	45	20	1140	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2										0						96	2	24	30	44	1136
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						200	8	37	34	22	1142
Hispanic	0								-		0			1	1		120	3	23	32	43	1138
Caucasian/White	34	2	6	12	35	14	41	6	18	1141	19	5	32	47	16	1141	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Not Reported	0	-		'-		l '''					0		02	"			0	· ·				1
Not neported											ľ				-		"					
Identified disability																						
Yes	3										1				İ		1896	0	8	22	70	1130
No	34	2	6	13	38	14	41	5	15	1142	19	5	32	47	16	1141	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
Current LEP														1								
Yes	0										0						545	3	16	28	53	1135
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1141	20	5	30	45	20	1140	14325	4	38	34	24	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	5	1	20	1	20	2	40	1	20	1142	3						3695	1	22	37	40	1136
No	32	1	3	12	38	12	38	7	22	1140	17	6	35	47	12	1142	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0						-		-		0			-			5	20	20	40	20	1144
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1141	20	5	30	45	20	1140	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Gender									İ				İ	İ	İ							
Female	13	1	8	4	31	4	31	4	31	1138	7	14	29	43	14	1141	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Male	24	1	4	9	38	10	42	4	17	1142	13	0	31	46	23	1140	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Not Reported	0	į	-	9	30	10	42	"	17	1142	0	"	31	40	23	1140	0	3	30	32	25	1141
Not neported											ľ						"					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	8	41	51	1134
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1141	20	5	30	45	20	1140	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Oithe dibelente done																						
Gifted/talented program																	000	0.5	50	_		1450
Yes	0		_		6-			_			0	_				,	296	35	59	5	0	1158
No	37	2	5	13	35	14	38	8	22	1141	20	5	30	45	20	1140	14574	4	37	35	25	1140
													}	1	}				1	1	1	



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: **Baileyville School Department** School: **Woodland Jr-Sr High School**

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL CALL

Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	_	Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	2	4	1	5	952	6
	2006-2007	4	8	0	0	937	6
	2007-2008	1	3	1	5	962	7
	Cum. Total*	7	5	2	3	2851	6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	18	40	9	43	6055	40
	2006-2007	17	35	10	59	6167	41
	2007-2008	14	38	5	25	5564	38
	Cum. Total*	49	38	24	41	17786	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	17	38	8	38	4916	32
	2006-2007	19	40	3	18	4723	31
	2007-2008	15	41	10	50	4679	32
	Cum. Total*	51	39	21	36	14318	32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	8	18	3	14	3221	21
	2006-2007	8	17	4	24	3227	21
	2007-2008	7	19	4	20	3376	23
	Cum. Total*	23	18	11	19	9824	22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							S	ΑU					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	37	1	3	14	38	15	41	7	19	1139	20	5	25	50	20	1138	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2										0						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander	1 1										1						192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic	0										0						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White	34	1	3	14	41	13	38	6	18	1140	19	5	26	53	16	1139	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported	0										0	-	-				0		"	-		
•											ľ											
Identified disability																						
Yes	3										1						1825	1	7	23	69	1125
No	34	1	3	14	41	15	44	4	12	1141	19	5	26	53	16	1139	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	0										0						488	3	19	29	49	1131
No	37	1	3	14	38	15	41	7	19	1139	20	5	25	50	20	1138	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
NO	37	'		'4	30	'5	7'	'	19	1100	20		25	30	20	1130	14033	,		32		1140
Economically disadvantaged														İ								
Yes	5	0	0	2	40	2	40	1	20	1138	3						3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No	32	1	3	12	38	13	41	6	19	1139	17	6	29	53	12	1140	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	20	60	1131
No	37	1	3	14	38	15	41	7	19	1139	20	5	25	50	20	1138	14576	7	38	32	23	1140
110		•		'-	00	10	1	'	10	1100			25	00	20	1100	14070	,	00	02	1 20	1170
Gender																						
Female	13	1	8	3	23	6	46	3	23	1139	7	14	14	71	0	1144	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male	24	0	0	11	46	9	38	4	17	1139	13	0	31	38	31	1135	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0		-	1	}		103	0	7	39	54	1128
No	37	1	3	14	38	15	41	7	19	1139	20	5	25	50	20	1138	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
INO	"	1		'7	30	'	71	'	10	1109			20		20	1100	17470	′		02	-20	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	42	53	4	0	1159
No	37	1	3	14	38	15	41	7	19	1139	20	5	25	50	20	1138	14286	6	38	33	24	1139
							-								1					-		



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Baileyville School Department
School: Woodland Jr-Sr High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of

STODENTS	II LACII ACIIILVLII	ILINI LLVLL
School	SAU	State

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL*

multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)	08 2	5	1	5	300	2
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160)	08 16	43	7	37	5927	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)	08 8	22	4	21	3544	24
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)	08 11	30	7	37	4988	34

		nber	Average Points Attained (Number and Percent)									
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	ĄU	State					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	6.33	42.2	6.18	41.2	6.41	42.7				
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	6.61	47.2	6.84	48.9	6.22	44.4				
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	5.93	42.4	5.91	42.2	5.04	36.0				
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	7.03	54.1	7.42	57.1	6.59	50.7				

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science
J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

Each content standard in the

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

clusters shown is defined in Maine's 1997 *Learning*

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												S	AU		State						
	Tested		E	M		P		D		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Jour
All Students	37	2	5	16	43	8	22	11	30	1142	19	5	37	21	37	1142	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity															İ							
African American/Black	0										0						269	0	20	14	65	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan	2										0						92	1	24	28	47	1138
Asian or Pacific Islander	1 1										1				-		199	3	36	25	36	1140
Hispanic	0										0						118	1	26	19	54	1136
Caucasian/White	34	2	6	16	47	7	21	9	26	1142	18	6	39	22	33	1143	14081	2	41	24	33	1141
Not Reported	0	_									0	•			"		0	_	1			
Not ricported											ľ						ľ					
Identified disability																						
Yes	3										0						1879	0	11	17	72	1133
No	34	2	6	15	44	8	24	9	26	1143	19	5	37	21	37	1142	12880	2	44	25	28	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	0										0						519	1	18	19	62	1134
	37	2	5	16	43	8	22	11	30	1142	19	5	37	01	37	1142	14240	2	41	24	33	1141
No	37	2	٥	16	43	, °	22	"	30	1142	19	5	3/	21	3/	1142	14240		41	24	33	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	5	0	0	2	40	1	20	2	40	1139	2						3651	1	26	24	49	1137
No	32	2	6	14	44	7	22	9	28	1142	17	6	41	24	29	1143	11108	3	45	24	29	1142
									İ				İ		İ							
Migrant																	l <u>-</u>					
Yes	0		_	40	40		00			1110	0	_	07	0.4	0.7	1110	5	20	40	40	0	1146
No	37	2	5	16	43	8	22	11	30	1142	19	5	37	21	37	1142	14754	2	40	24	34	1141
Gender																						
Female	13	0	0	3	23	3	23	7	54	1136	7	0	29	29	43	1139	7277	1	37	26	36	1140
Male	24	2	8	13	54	5	21	4	17	1145	12	8	42	17	33	1144	7482	3	43	22	32	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
·																						
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0	_				_					0	_					100	1	5	22	72	1133
No	37	2	5	16	43	8	22	11	30	1142	19	5	37	21	37	1142	14659	2	40	24	34	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						296	13	80	5	3	1152
No	37	2	5	16	43	8	22	11	30	1142	19	5	37	21	37	1142	14463	2	39	24	34	1140
	-	-	1	"								-			-			_				
									-		1									-	İ	1